Appendix U

Summary of Comments/ Comment Database

Community Toy Community Code	Comment	F 1	Format /	A Content	griculture Biological	Cultural Ene	rgy / Power	Land Use	Mitigation/Moni	ito Population /	Resou	Irce Area	Reservoir	Carlo assessing	Transboundary	ransportation /	Water Supply /	Water Confle	Mater Diebte	Alternations	Missellenson	C
1 B 001	01 Schuett	Form Letter	1	1	esources Resources	s Resources P	Gloundwater Hydr	ology Plaining	ilig	nousing	Public Services	Recreation	Management	1	impacis	Hallic	1	Water Quality	1	Alleridines	Miscellatieous	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 B 002	01 WR Consultants			1									1									Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead.
1 B 002	02 WR Consultants		1																		1	Use process that weighs benefits against impacts
1 B 004	01 Shipley Group			1										1			1	1				Consider/evaluate return of treated wastewater to river to supplement supplies
1 B 004	02 Shipley Group			1			1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate storage of surplus supplies in groundwater aquifers, when available
2 B 2000	01 Watermasters																				1	Provide information on public scoping meetings.
2 B 2001	01 Avalex, Inc.																		1			Consider/evaluate effects that guidelines may have on the Law of the River
2 B 2001	02 Avalex, Inc.			1													1					Re-evaluate how determination of "normal" or "surplus" conditions are made
2 B 2001	03 Avalex, Inc.				1		1					1	1	1			1					Consider/evaluate Lake Mead minimum storage elevation that optimizes water availability for all users & no other elevation protections
2 B 2001	04 Avalex, Inc.				1		1					1	1	1		1	1	1				Consider/evaluate Lake Powell minimum storage elevation that optimizes water availability for all users & no other elevation protections
2 B 2001	05 Avalex, Inc.		1									1		1	1							Evaluate both direct and indirect environmental and economic impacts to river and all water users
2 B 2001	06 Avalex, Inc.			1														1				Consider/evaluate water quality impacts below Lake Mead
1 F 001	01 DOE-WAPA		1											1								Develop and implement drought management solutions now to minimize impacts in future years
1 F 001	02 DOE-WAPA			1			1				1		1	1								Consider/develop of strategies that maximize power production
1 F 001	03 DOE-WAPA			1									1									Include Lake Powell in management strategies/shortage guidelines
1 F 001	04 DOE-WAPA		1											1								Interim strategies that end before 2017 may impact negotiation of Hoover Service Contracts
1 F 001	05 DOE-WAPA		1																			Implementation of strategies/shortage guidelines may be beneficial
1 F 001	06 DOE-WAPA			1													1		1			Incorporate shortage criteria in the Lower Basin that recognizes water right priorities
1 F 001	07 DOE-WAPA			1									1					1				Incorporate shortage criteria in the Lower Basin that minimizes impacts to water quality of low reservoir conditions
1 F 001	08 DOE-WAPA			1			1				1		1	1								Incorporate shortage criteria in the Lower Basin that maintains power generation capacity to Lower Basin customers
1 F 001	09 DOE-WAPA			1			1				1		1	1			1					Consider Upper Basin releases that enhance storage in Lake Powell to maintain power generation at Glen Canyon
1 F 002	01 US IBWC													1	1		1	1	1			Management Strategies should address adverse impacts to water deliveries to Mexico
1 F 002	02 US IBWC		1	1											1							Include language in Management Strategies stating that IBWC Minute No. 242 will not be changed
1 F 002	03 US IBWC													1	1			1				Address potential salinity impacts to Mexico water deliveries
1 F 002	04 US IBWC		1												1		1	1	1		1	Request consultation with Mexico to explain NEPA process and potential impacts on water quantity and quality to Mexico deliveries
1 F 002	05 US IBWC		1												1				1		1	Update reference to drought or the allocation of waters between the U.S. and Mexico consistent with terminology used in 1944 Water Treaty
1 F 003	01 Park Service			1								1	1			1						Consider/evaluate critical water levels on lakes Powell and Mead below which many recreational services would be curtailed altogether
1 F 003	02 Park Service			1								1	1			1						Consider/evaluate conjunctive reservoir management strategies that optimize recreation on lakes Mead and Powell
1 F 003	03 Park Service											1	1	1		1						Consider/evaluate potential impacts to recreation on lakes Mead and Powell
1 F 003	04 Park Service											1		1		1						Consider/evaluate potential impacts to recreation on Grand Canyon National Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area
1 F 003	05 Park Service									1				1								Consider/evaluate impacts to local and regional economies along the Colorado River
1 F 003	06 Park Service											1		1		1						Consider/evaluate impacts on recreation and tourism along the Colorado River
1 F 003	07 Park Service										1	1		1								Consider/evaluate impacts on NPS units along Colorado River
1 F 004	01 Fish & Wildlife Service		1										1									Section 7 consultation needed if develop Glen Canyon Dam monthly or daily release patterns that differ from those specified in the 1995 ROD
																						Consider/evaluate Glen Canyon Dam Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow water management strategies to provide warmer release water temperatures to enhance
1 F 004	02 Fish & Wildlife Service			1	1								1					1				humpback chub conservation
1 F 004	03 Fish & Wildlife Service				1								1				1					Consider/evaluate effect of annual releases from Glen Canyon Dam on humpback chub
1 F 004	04 Fish & Wildlife Service		1										1									Section 7 consultation needed if projected Lake Mead elevations are lower than elevations in LCR MSCP BA/BO
1 F 004	05 Fish & Wildlife Service		1		1								1									Section 7 consultation needed if reduction in flows below Hoover Dam are more than 1.574 MAF as stated in LCR MSCP BA/BO
1 F 004	06 Fish & Wildlife Service			1									1					1				Consider/evaluate Lake Powel levels and flows thru Grand Canyon that benefit spawning and recruitment of razorback suckers as noted in BO for ISG
																						Consider/evaluate timing of flows into Lake Mead to allow for riparian management at its delta to provide habitat for the endangered southwestern willow
1 F 004	07 Fish & Wildlife Service			1	1																	flycatcher and other migratory bird species
1 F 006	01 U.S. Air Force, Nellis AFB			1									1									Consider/evaluate strategies that establish critical water levels at lakes Mead and Powell
1 F 006	02 U.S. Air Force, Nellis AFB			1									1	1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate strategies that provide for equitable sharing of shortages between parties to international treaties
1 F 006	03 U.S. Air Force, Nellis AFB			1										1			1		1			Consider/evaluate strategies that protect Federal Reserved Water Rights
1 F 006	04 U.S. Air Force, Nellis AFB			1																	1	Consider/evaluate strategies that protect federal mandates such as protect nation and preserve national sovereignty
2 F 2000	01 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1									1				1					Consider/evaluate specific measures that result in more efficient management of Colorado River water supplies
2 F 2000	02 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		1									1		1	1							Evaluate both direct and indirect environmental and economic impacts to river and all water users
2 F 2000	03 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency												1	1			1	1				Evaluate effects on the Southern Nevada Water Authority's drinking water supply and its intakes
2 F 2000	04 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency												1					1				Evaluate effects on dilution of perchlorate entering Lake Mead from Henderson, Nevada via Las Vegas Wash
2 F 2000	05 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				1								1					1				Evaluate effects on the timing and rate of lake turnover
2 F 2000	06 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1									1					1				Evaluate effects on lake water quality
2 F 2000	07 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				1								1					1				Evaluate effects on the timing and rate of lake turnover
2 F 2000	08 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1									1					1				Evaluate effects on lake water quality
2 F 2000	09 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1									1					1				Evaluate effects on salinity, mercury, sediment, radioactive substances and other constituents of Lower Colorado River water
2 F 2000	10 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1											1			1				Evaluate effects on general water quality and end uses of water going to Arizona, California, Nevada, and Mexico
2 F 2000	11 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1													1	1				Evaluate effects on in-stream water quality and water reaching the Colorado River Delta, including water temperatures and flow fluctuations
2 F 2000	12 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				1	1		1		1				1			1		1			Evaluate effects on water rights, including Tribal water rights
2 F 2000	13 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency																1					Evaluate effects on water supply diversion quantities and schedules
2 F 2000	14 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency											1	1	1								Evaluate effects on recreation, such as rafting in the Grand Canyon, fishing, and visual effects of reservoir draw-downs
2 F 2000	15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1									1					1				Evaluate effects on sediment movement and impacts on beach replenishment in the Grand Canyon
2 F 2000	16 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1			1				1		1	1								Evaluate effects on hydroelectric generation and Lake Mead and Lake Powell equalization requirements
2 F 2000	17 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency												1									Evaluate effects on flood control
2 F 2000	18 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		1		1																	Evaluate effects on fisheries, threatened and endangered species, and the Lower Colorado River Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Strategy
																						Evaluate effects on Treaty obligations with Tribes and Mexico, Biological Opinions, discharge and diversion permits, and other agreements, such as those to
2 F 2000	19 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		1							<u> </u>					1		1	1	1			restore the Colorado River Delta.
2 F 2000	20 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			1			1						1	1			1		1			Evaluate effects on groundwater from potential transition from surface water use to groundwater use
2 F 2000	21 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency								1		1											Develop monitoring and accounting systems to evaluate impacts of shortages
2 F 2000	22 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		1																			Request regular consultations with tribes during development of alternatives
					_											•						

The color Section Se																							
1		Comment		Format /		Agriculture	Biological	Cultural	Energy / Power			Land Use /	Mitigation/Monito	Population /	Resourc	e Area	Reservoir		Transboundary T	Transportation /	Water Supply /		
1	Group Commenter Type 2 F	Sequence Code Number 2000 23	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Mechanism	Content 1	Resources	Resources	Resources	Production	Groundwater	Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing	Public Services	Recreation	Management	Socio-economics	Impacts	Traffic	Quantity	Water Quality Water Rights Alternatives Miscellan	
1			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	1						1							1			1	1	
1 2 0 0 10 10 10 10 10	1 G	001 02	Living Rivers														1				1		Consider/evaluate efficiency of storage system based on reality of increased demand and decreased supply
1	1 G	001 03	Living Rivers		1					1	1						1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 G	001 04	Living Rivers		1												1					1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead.
C	—	t	· ·				1				1					1	1	1			1	1	· ·
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	—		·				1				1						1	1			1		
1			· ·				1		-		1							1			1	1	·
1	—		·				'				- 1							1	1		1	1 1	
1	—						1	-	1		1					1	1	1	'		1	1	
1			·		1					1	1						1	1			1	1	· ,
1	1 G	004 03	•		1		1				1						1	1			1		
1	1 G	004 04	Endangered Habitats		1												1					1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead.
1 5 65 7	1 G	004 05	Endangered Habitats	1	1						1							1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 C C C C New Address C C C C C C C C C		t	3	1																			
1			·		1		1				1					1	1	1			1	1	· ,
1		-	'		1					1	1							1			1	1	
1 2 60 7 Prince August 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	—	t	· ·	1	1		1	1		I	1							1			1		• • •
1 2 3 5 6 1			·	1				-			'							-	 		'	1	
1	—			1							1							1			1	1	
C	—		·	1				1										<u> </u>					
		007 01	·	1																			
C 52 52 53 55 February and register 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 G	007 02	Rock the Earth		1		1				1					1	1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 2 23 25 25 25 25 25 25	1 G	007 03	Rock the Earth		1						1					1	1	1			1	1	Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
C		-			1					1	1						1	1			1	1	
1	—		,			1	1				1							1			1		
1				1			1				1						1	1			1	1	
1		-					'	+	+		- '			-		-	1	-			-	1	
1								-	1		1						1					<u>'</u>	
1	—		·							1	1						1	1			1	1	
1	—	009 02			1												1					1	
1	1 G	009 03	Grand Canyon Sierra Club	1	1						1							1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 6 6 70 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 G	009 04	Grand Canyon Sierra Club	1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
To G Or O Contemporary Colors D D Contemporary Colors D D D D D D D D D	1 G		Grand Canyon River Guides		1						1					1	1			1	1		Consider/evaluate alternatives that ensure sufficient flows for boat safety and navigation
1	—		,		1						1					1		1		1			
The Column			,				1				1						1					1	
1		-			1		'	+	+		- '			-		-		1			1	1	
To Co Co Co Co Co Co Co		t	,		1			-	1	1	1						1	-			'	'	
1			,		1				1						1			1					
1		t	*		1	1	1		1		1							1			1		
1	1 G	010 09	Grand Canyon River Guides		1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate power conservation program to minimize hydro-peaking releases
1	1 G	010 10	Grand Canyon River Guides	1																			Use a basin wide approach for study and criteria implementation
The Control	1 G	010 11	Grand Canyon River Guides	1																			
The Control				↓	1							1		1				1		1	1	1	
1 G	—	t	·	1			1			4	1					1					1	1	· ,
1 G	—		·	╁	1	-	1			1	1							1	 		1	1	• • •
1 G			·	 	1		<u> </u>				1						1	<u> </u>	 		1	1	, ,
1 G 014 01 Defenders 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		-	·	1	1			1			1						•	1			1	1	
Perfection of the control of the con	—		***************************************	1														<u> </u>			•	· ·	
The G 014 04 Defenders 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	—	t		1																			
1 G 016 01 Wyoning Farm Bureau 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 G	014 03	Defenders	1																			The preferred alternative should take the form of guidelines, similar to ISGs
1 G 016 02 Wyoming Farm Bureau 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		t		1	1																		
1 G 016 03 Wyoming Farm Bureau	—		, ,	 							1						1	1			1		
1 G 017 01 Glen Carryon Institute 1 1	—		, ,	├								1		1				1			1	1	
1 G 017 02 Glen Caryon Institute 1 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	—		, ,	1	1	1												1			1	1	
1 G 017 03 Glen Caryon Institute			·	1			\vdash	+											+				
1 G 017 04 Glen Caryon Institute 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			·	'	1					1	1						1	1	+ +		1	1	
1 G 017 05 Glen Caryon Institute 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		t	,	† †	1	1					1										1	- 	• • •
1 G 017 07 Glen Canyon Institute 1 1 1 1 1 Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands 1 G 018 01 NOAH-UC Western Water Ass 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	,														1					1	
1 G 018 01 NOAH-UC Western Water Ass 1 1 1 1 Consider/evaluate including effects of climate variability and long-term trends in climate in analysis and future operations	1 G	017 06	Glen Canyon Institute		1		1				1						1				1		Consider/evaluate minimum Grand Canyon flows of 8,000 cfs for protection of native fish
	1 G	017 07		1	1						1							1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
C 2000 0.1 Signs Club					1						1										1		
4 G 2000 OT Disens Cubu Tripposes process requires a full chironinemial impact statement	2 G	2000 01	Sierra Club	1	<u> </u>													<u> </u>					Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement

		ı												Resourc	re Area						·	
		Comment	Format /		Agrico	Iture Bio	logical Cultural	Energy / Powe	ır		Land Use /	Mitigation/Monito	Population /	Kesoure	oc racu	Reservoir		Transboundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /		
Group Comm	G G	Sequence Code Number 2000 02	Commenter Form Letter Mechanism Sierra Club 1	m Cont	tent Resor	rces Res	ources Resources	Production	Groundwater	Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing	Public Services	Recreation	Management	Socio-economics	Impacts	Traffic	Quantity	Water Quality Water Rights Alternatives Miscellaneous	Guidelines should be permanent for use in managing water now and in future
_	G	2000 03	Sierra Club	1													1	1		1		Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
	G	2001 01	Environmental Defense 1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
2	G	2001 02	Environmental Defense 1																			Guidelines should be permanent for use in managing water now and in future
2	G	2001 03	Environmental Defense	1													1	1		1	1	Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
2	G	2002 01	Defenders of Wildlife, et al.	1													1	1		1	1	Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
2	G	2003 01	Rock the Earth 1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
	G	2003 02	Rock the Earth	1			1			1					1	1	1			1		Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
	G	2003 03	Rock the Earth	1						1					1	1	1			1		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
	G	2003 04 2003 05	Rock the Earth Rock the Earth	1			1	-	1	1						1	1	-		1		Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
_	G G	2003 05 2003 06	Rock the Earth 1	1	_	_	1			1							1			1	1	Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years Jpdate Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
	G	2003 07	Rock the Earth	1			1			1						1	1			1		Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
	G	2003 08	Rock the Earth	1			·			·						1	·				1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
_	G	2004 01	Living Rivers Colorado Riverkeeper	1					1	1						1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
2	G	2004 02	Living Rivers Colorado Riverkeeper	1												1					1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead.
2	G	2004 03	Living Rivers Colorado Riverkeeper	1			1			1					1	1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
2	G	2004 04	Living Rivers Colorado Riverkeeper	1			1			1						1	1	1		1	1	Consider/evaluate new water allocation guidelines that protect critical habitats in Grand Canyon and elsewhere.
	G	2005 01	Defenders of Wildlife, et al.	1													1	1		1		Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
	G	2006 01	Western Watersheds Project, Inc.				1		1	1	1	1								1		Consider/evaluate role of watershed and soils disturbing activities on Colorado River system
	G	2006 02	Western Watersheds Project, Inc.	1	_				1		<u> </u>	_					1	1				Consider Colorado River Salinity Control Act in analysis
	G	2006 03	Western Watersheds Project, Inc.	1		-		1	 		1	1						1		1		Evaluate effect of livestock and grazed areas on runoff and sediment
_	G G	2008 01 2008 02	Red Rock Audubon Society 1 Red Rock Audubon Society 1	1					 	1							1	1		1		Jse a basin wide approach for study and criteria implementation Jpdate Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
	G	2008 02	Red Rock Audubon Society Red Rock Audubon Society	-	1	_	1			1	1		1	1			1			1		opposite Compact to renear the Colorado Rover's Supply limitations and changing societal demands. Consider/evaluate intra- and interstate sale, lease, transfer, trade or exchange of water within Basin
_	G	2008 03	Red Rock Audubon Society Red Rock Audubon Society	1	<u> </u>	-	1		1	1	'		-	'			1			1		Consider/evaluate mila- and milersiate sale, lease, mansier, made or exchange or water within basin Consider/evaluate programs that can augment the available basin supplies, such as cloud seeding, ocean desalination, etc.
_	G	2008 05	Red Rock Audubon Society Red Rock Audubon Society	1					<u> </u>	1						1	1			1		Develop basin-wide conjunction water supply management program that considers all sources of supply
_	G	2008 06	Red Rock Audubon Society 1																			Develop alternatives with participation of all legitimate stakeholders
2	G	2008 07	Red Rock Audubon Society	1						1						1	1			1		Consider/evaluate strategies that manage Lake Powell and Mead water levels to protect Las Vegas' water supply
																					† † † † † †	NEPA analysis needs to evaluate impacts of the guidelines and strategies collectively with other proposed projects such as bypass flow replacement, operation
2	G	2009 01	Environmental Defense 1	1													1			1		of YDP, new regulatory storage facilities, etc.
2	G	2010 01	Sierra Club	1	ı												1	1		1	1	Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
2	G	2010 02	Sierra Club 1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
2	G	2010 03	Sierra Club 1																			Guidelines should be permanent for use in managing water now and in future
_	G	2012 01	Environmental Defense 1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
	G	2012 02	Environmental Defense 1	_				-	-													Guidelines should be permanent for use in managing water now and in future
_	G	2012 03	Environmental Defense	1		_											1	1		1	1 1	Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
	G G	2013 01 2013 02	Sonoran Institute 1 Sonoran Institute 1					-	-									-				Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines should be permanent for use in managing water now and in future
	G	2013 02	Sonoran Institute	1													1	1		1		Consider/evaluate submitted shortage criteria alternative - "Conservation Before Shortage"
	G	2013 03	Red Rock Audubon Society 1	<u>'</u>		_			1					-				'				Develop alternatives with participation of all legitimate stakeholders
	G	2014 02	Red Rock Audubon Society 1												1		1	1			 	Evaluate both direct and indirect environmental and economic impacts to river and all water users
	G	2014 03	Red Rock Audubon Society 1																			Jse a basin wide approach for study and criteria implementation
2	G	2014 04	Red Rock Audubon Society	1																		Consider/evaluate effect and impacts to water quality
2	G	2014 05	Red Rock Audubon Society		1		1			1	1		1	1			1			1	1	Consider/evaluate intra- and interstate sale, lease, transfer, trade or exchange of water within Basin
2	G	2016 01	Friends of Lake Powell	1						1						1				1	1	Consider/evaluate delivery reductions that are flexible and responsive to hydrologic conditions
2	G	2016 02	Friends of Lake Powell	1						1						1	1	1		1	1	Consider/evaluate Upper Basin delivery schedules that allow releases less than 8.23 maf/year from Lake Powell
	G	2016 03	Friends of Lake Powell	1				1	ļ	1						1	1			1		Consider/evaluate criteria that requires equitable sharing of shortages between Upper and Lower basins
	G	2016 04	Friends of Lake Powell	1				 	<u> </u>	1	ļ					1				1		Consider/evaluate guidelines that trigger drought conditions at Lake Powell when level drops below 3600 feet
	G	2016 05	Friends of Lake Powell	1				1	1					1		1	1					Consider/develop of strategies that protect critical water levels at Lake Powell to minimize impacts to stakeholders
1	+ +	001 01	Belles 1	1	_	+		+	-											1		Develop plan consistent with international treaty obligations Develop plan that maximizes beneficial use of the available water for domestic municipal and agricultural in U.S.
1	1	001 02 001 03	Belles 1 Belles 1 1	+ '	-			+	1									1		- 1		Develop plan that maximizes beneficial use of the available water for domestic municipal and agricultural in U.S. Develop plan that complies with Federal Laws such as the Endangered Species Act
1	+	001 03		1		\dashv		1	1					1		1	1	1				Develop plan that complies with rederal Laws such as the Endangered Species Act Develop plan that maximizes generation of electrical power
1		001 05	Belles 1	1		-		1 '	1					1	1	1						Develop plan that manifects generation of electrical power.
1	it	001 06	Belles 1	1	_	\dashv		1	1	1					1	1	1			1		Develop plan that naximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	1	002 01	Mapel 1	1				1	İ	1						1	1	İ		1		Consider/evaluate plan that minimizes releases from Lake Powell
1	1	003 01	Parmelee 1	1	1					1						1	1	1		1		Consider/evaluate plan that limits releases from Lake Powell to 7.5 MAFY
1	T	004 01	Reuther 1	1	1												1			1	1	Consider charging surcharge to agricultural deliveries to fund conservation projects such as converting ditches to pipelines
1	1	005 01	Reuther 1												1	1	1		1		1	Consider/evaluate eliminating boating on Lake Mead to protect water quality from fuel spills
1	1	006 01	Kelly 1	1						1							1			1	1	Consider/evaluate return of treated wastewater to river to supplement supplies
1	1	007 01		1						1					1	1	1			1		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	1	008 01	15 Commenters, see database - Form Letter A A	15	5					15					15	15	15			15		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1		008 02	15 Commenters, see database - Form Letter A A				15								-	1						Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	1	012 01	Pepper 1	1	_		4	1	-	1					1	1	1			1		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	1		Pepper 1 Riddle 1	1		_	1	+	 	1	1				1	1	1	1		1		Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Carryon
1	+ +	013 01 014 01	Rosenfield 1	1		+		+	-	1					1	1	1	1		1		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	+	015 01	Rutkowski 1	1	_	\dashv		+	1	1					1	1	1	1		1		Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and ninimizes storage at Lake Powell Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
<u> </u>	- 1	010 01	Table 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1		1	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>		- 1	_ '		1			1 1 1 1 1	Service plant that the time to delige at Lake mean and intitutized delige at Lake 1 0ffell

Group	Commenter Type	Sequence Code	Comment Number Commenter	Form Letter	Format / Mechanism	Agriculture Bio	logical Cultural Energy / Power	Land Use /	Mitigation/Monito	Population /	Resource :	Recreation I	Reservoir Management S	locin-economics	Fransboundary Tra	ansportation /	Water Supply /	Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Comment Summary
1	Commenter Type	015	02 Rutkowski	1	Wechanism	Content Resources Res	1	Fiduling	ing	riousing	duic Services	Recreation	1	OCIO-economics	impacts	Hallic	Quality	water Guality	water reignis	Auemanes	MISCENDIFOUS	Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	I	017	01 Skinner	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1		018	01 Spezia	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	ļ	020	01 Call	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	- 1	020	02 Call	1			1						1									Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	I	022	01 Harvey	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	I	023	01 Hegland	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	- 1	024	01 Howe	1		1	1			1				1			1		1			Consider/evaluate plan that includes interstate water leasing consistent with Colorado River Board of California's 1991 proposal for water leasing
																						Consider/evaluate plan that includes interstate water leasing consistent with Governor Roy Romer's proposal for the 40 year non-development of part of
1		024	02 Howe	1		1	1 1			1			-	1			1		1			Colorado's allotted water under the
1		025	01 Jackman	1			1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
- 1	- '	026	01 Meeks	1		1	' 	-		1		+					- 1	-				Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
1	1	029	01 Walker	1	1	1											1		1			Consider/evaluate new source of supply that can provide 750,000 acre feet per year, source to be revealed only after commenter proposed contractual arrangements met
1	i	030	01 Welles	1		1	1 1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	i	031	01 Wood	1		1						1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	ı	031	02 Wood	1			1						1									Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	1	033	01 Johnson	1		1	1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
1	1	033	02 Johnson	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	I	034	01 Reis	1		1	1 1	1				1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	I	036	01 Wolf	1		1	1		1			1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	I	036	02 Wolf	1			1	İ					1				İ					Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	-	037	01 Chetron	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	I	040	01 Nutting	1		1	1	L				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	1	040	02 Nutting	1			1						1									Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	- 1	042	01 Cole	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	- 1	043	01 Mueller	1		1	1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate plan that augments supplies by constructing additional Upper Colorado River water storage capacity
1		044	01 Kozarsky	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1		045	01 Hill	1		1	1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate criteria that restricts reservoir releases when reservoir storage is below 50 percent of capacity
1		047	01 Maida	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	- 1	047	02 Maida	1			1						1									Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
1	I	048	01 Barr	1		1	1 1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	- 1	048	02 Barr	1									1				1					Consider/evaluate efficiency of storage system based on reality of increased demand and decreased supply
1	- 1	048	03 Barr	1		1	1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	I	048	04 Barr	1		1							1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	I	048	05 Barr	1		1	1							1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	I	049	01 Johnson	1			1 1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	049	02 Johnson	1		1	1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	- 1	050	01 Muehlmann	1			_						-				4				1	Please advice if there will be additional public meetings in Phoenix
1	1	051 051	01 Rutkowski 02 Rutkowski	1		1	1 1	-	1			1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1		051	02 Rukowski 03 Rutkowski	1		1	1 1			1			1	1			1					Consider/evaluate efficiency of storage system based on reality of increased demand and decreased supply Consider/evaluate costs and bonofits of rectoring natural flows through Clon and Cond Convents.
1	1	051	04 Rutkowski	1		1	' 			1			1	'			'	1				Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	1	051	05 Rutkowski	1		1	1	1					'	1			1	'	1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1	051	06 Rutkowski	1	1	'							-	'			'					Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	<u>'</u>	052	01 Tom	1	<u> </u>	1		1		1			-	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate managing new housing development as means to manage water demands
1	<u>'</u>	052	02 Tom	1		1 1	1 1	+ '				+		1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1	i	053	01 Wellner	1			1 1		1			1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	ı	053	02 Wellner	1			- 		1			·	1	•			1					Consider/evaluate efficiency of storage system based on reality of increased demand and decreased supply
1	I	053	03 Wellner	1		1	1 1	1	1				1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	I	053	04 Wellner	1		1			1				1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	I	054	01 Worthy	1		1	1 1		1				1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	I	054	02 Worthy	1		1			İ				1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	I	054	03 Worthy	1	1																	Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	I	054	04 Worthy	1		1	1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	I	054	05 Wellner	1	1	1	1							1	[1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	I	055	01 Arndorfer	1		1	1 1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	I	055	02 Arndorfer	1									1				1					Consider/evaluate efficiency of storage system based on reality of increased demand and decreased supply
1	I	055	03 Arndorfer	1		1	1 1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	I	055	04 Arndorfer	1		1			1				1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	I	056	01 Atwood	1		1			<u> </u>				1									Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1	- I	056	02 Atwood	1		1	1		<u> </u>				1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate plan that minimizes releases from Lake Powell
1	I	057	01 Bennett	1		1			ļ				1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1	I	058	01 Essler	1			1 1		ļ			1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	I	058	02 Essler	1		-	1 1	1					1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	I	058	03 Essler	1		1	1							1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	I	058	04 Essler	1	1			-	<u> </u>													Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	<u> </u>	059	01 Evans	1		1	1 1	+	1	-		1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
	- 1	059	02 Evans	1	<u> </u>	1	1 1		<u> </u>				1	1			1		1		<u> </u>	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers

			Comment		Format /		Agriculture	Biological	Cultural Energy / Power	Land Use /	Mitigation/Mo	onito Population	Res	ource Area	Reservoir		Transboundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /					
Group 1	Commenter Typ	pe Sequence Code 059	Number Commenter 03 Evans	Form Letter	Mechanism	Content 1	Resources	Resources 1	Resources Production Groundwater Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing	Public Service	es Recreation	Management 1	Socio-economics 1	Impacts	Traffic	Quantity 1	Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Consider founding to costs and honefits of rectating natural flows through Clan and Convene
1	-	_	03 Evans 04 Evans	1	-	1		-		-	-	-	-		1	- 1			- 1	1				Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	-	059 059	05 Evans	1	1	1			1			-			-	1			1	-	1			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	-	060	01 Kapell	1	'	1		1	1	+	-	-		1	1	1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	-	061	01 Kapeli 01 LaMorte	1	1	1		'	1					'	'	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	-			1	1	1			1			-				1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	-	062		1	1	1				1		1				1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	-	063	01 Needham	1			-			 	-	- 1			<u> </u>	1					- 1			Consider/evaluate managing new housing development as means to manage water demands
1	<u> </u>	063	02 Needham	1		1					-	-				ı			1	- 1				Consider/evaluate ocean desalination water to make up shortages
1		064	01 Parmelee	1		1			1		-				1				1					Consider/evaluate plan that stores more water in Upper Basin reservoirs to reduce evaporation losses
1	1	064	02 Parmelee	1		1	1		1		-				1	1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate plan that limits releases from Lake Powell to 7.5 MAFY
1	1	065	01 Rader	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
- 1	1	065	02 Rader	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1	065	03 Rader	1		1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	1	066	01 Tim	1		1					-					1			1	1				Consider/evaluate ocean desalination water to make up shortages
1		067	01 Billy	1		1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate requiring use of artificial grass to conserve water
1	I	068	01 Duba	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	ı	068	02 Duba	1		1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	ı	068	03 Duba	1		1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	- 1	069	01 Fretheim	1		1		1	1	<u> </u>				1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	- 1	070	01 Hoch	1		1	1	1	1	ļ	1		_		<u> </u>	1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1	- 1	071	01 Nielson	1		1									1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1	- 1	072	01 Parmelee	1		1		1							ļ	1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive tamarisk eradication efforts to conserve water
1	I	073	01 Turner	1		1		1	1				_	1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	- 1	074	01 Bird	1		1									ļ	1			1	1				Consider/evaluate ocean desalination water to make up shortages
1	1	074	02 Bird	1		1		1	1							1	1			1				Consider/evaluate start-up and expanded Yuma Desalter Project operations
1	- 1	074	03 Bird	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	- 1	074	04 Bird	1		1	1			1		1				1			1		1			Consider/evaluate reallocation of water between agricultural and municipal
1	1	074	05 Bird	1																			1	Request to be added to mailing list, kept informed of progress, and provided with copies of study reports
1	1	075	01 Unknown	1		1				1		1				1			1		1			Consider/evaluate managing new housing development as means to manage water demands
1	1	075	02 Unknown	1		1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate requiring use of artificial grass to conserve water
1	1	075	03 Unknown	1		1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate restrictions on outdoor water features to conserve water
1	1	076	01 Blalack	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1	076	02 Blalack	1		1									1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	ı	076	03 Blalack	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	ı	077	01 Daley	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1		078	01 Fred	1		1	1	1	1							1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1		079	01 Gailey	1		1									1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1		080	01 Hills	1		1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1		081	01 Portnoy	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	081	02 Portnoy	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	i	081	03 Portnoy	1		1									1					1	-			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	i	081	04 Portnoy	1	1										<u> </u>					·				Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	i	082	01 Crowl	1	·	1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	<u> </u>	082	02 Crowl	1		1			1 1					· ·	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	-	082	03 Crowl	1		1						-			1	-				1	-			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	 	082	04 Crowl	1	1					 	+	+	+	+	 '					- '-				Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	<u> </u>	083	01 Specht	1	· -	1				1		1				1			1		1			
1		084	01 Specifi 01 Warnick	1	 	1				+	+	- '	+	+	1	'			1					Consider/evaluate managing new housing development as means to manage water demands Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1	-	085	01 Rutkowski	1		1		1	1	1		-	-	1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	-	085	02 Rutkowski	1	+ +	1		- '-	1 1 1	-	-	+	-	1	1	1			1	-	1			
1	-	085	03 Rutkowski	1		1		1	1 1	1		-	-	+	1	1			1					Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	-	085	04 Rutkowski	1	+	1		<u> </u>		-	-	+	-	+	1	'			- 1	1				Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural nows through Glen and Grand Canyons Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	-	_		1	1			-		-	+	+	-	+		-1			1	-	-1			
1	-	085	05 Rutkowski	1	1	1			1	-	+	+	-	+	-	1			1		1		-1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	<u> </u>	087	01 Rosenfield	1	 	1		_		1	-	-	+	-	-	-			-		_		- 1	Supports position of Glen Canyon Institute for Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	088	01 Young	1		1		1	1	<u> </u>	-	+	-	1	1	1			1	-	1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1		089	01 Gliva	1		1		<u> </u>	1		-		-	1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1		089	02 Gliva	1	\vdash	1		1	1	ļ	-	_		1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1		089	03 Gliva	1	 	1			1 1	ļ	-		-	+	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1		089	04 Gliva	1	 	1		1	1	ļ	-		-	+	1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1		090	01 Holladay	1		1		ļ	1	<u> </u>	1	1		1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	1	090	02 Holladay	1		1		1	1	<u> </u>				4	1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	- 1	090	03 Holladay	1		1	1	1	1							1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1	- 1	090	04 Holladay	1	1	1			1						ļ	1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1	090	05 Holladay	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	I	091	01 Melissa	1		1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1	I	091	02 Melissa	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	I	091	03 Melissa	1		1			1 1					\perp	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	I	091	04 Melissa	1		1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	1	092	01 Runck	1		1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
		_		-									-	•	-									

Value 1964 1965																								
The content of the			Commont		Format /		Agricultur	n Rielegical	Cultural Engrey / Douge	Land Uro /	Mitigation/Moni	to Bonulation /	Resourc	ce Area	Boronunir		Transhoundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /					-
1 1 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1	Group	Commenter Type Sequenc	ode Number Commenter	Form Lette	er Mechanism	Conte	ent Resource	es Resources	Resources Production Groundwater Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing F	ublic Services	Recreation	Management	Socio-economics	Impacts	Traffic	Quantity	Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Comment Summary
1 1 20 1 1 20 1 20 20	1			1				1	1					1	1	1			1		1			• •
1 1 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1			1		1			1					1	1	1			1		1			
1	1		Ÿ	1		1									1				1					7,
1	1		ÿ	1		1	1		 							1			1					
1 1 20 10 10 10 10 10	1			1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			1		1					-				1				1					
30 00 00 00 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	1			1	1				 															
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1			1					 						1				1					
1	1		ÿ	1			_	1			-			1	1	1			1		1			
1	1		·	1		+	_		1 1			-			1	1			1		1			
	1			1		+		1				-			1	1			1	1				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		,	1	1	- '		-	 	-	-	+			-	-							-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	1		ÿ	1	- '	1								1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			1	1	-	_		+ + + + '		+			'	'	'			- '		- '			
1	1			1		1			+ + + + +				1			1			1		1			
	1		,	1	-					+	-	+ +	-			-								
1	1		·	1		1			1 1	+	-	+ +		1	1	1								
1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10	1			1	+			1	1 1	+	+	+ +		1	1	1								
Very Color	1			1	+	+		1	1 1	1	+	+ +		1	1	1					-			• ,
1 S	1			1	-	<u> </u>		+-	 	+	1	+ +				<u> </u>			- '				1	
1	1			1	+	+ '	-	+	 	1	+	+ +			- '-	 							1	
1	1			1	-	1	1	1	1 1	-	+	+ +		1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			1	+			1	1 1	1	+	+ +		•	1	1								· ·
1	1			1				+ -	 			1 1			1	<u> </u>			•	1				
1	1			1		1			1 1 1						1	1			1	·	1			
1	1		9	1		1		1	1 1					1	1	1			1		1			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1			1	1	·			 					•	·	·			•					,
1	1			1	<u> </u>	1			1 1 1					1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			1		1								·	1	·			· ·	1				
1	1			1					1 1 1						1	1			1		1			
1	1			1			_	1						1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			В					909 909					·	909	909			909		909			• •
1	1	I 10		В		909	9													909				
1	1	I 10	03 909 Commenters, see database- Form Letter B	В	909	909	9		909							909			909		909			
1	1	I 10	04 909 Commenters, see database- Form Letter B	В	909																			
1	1	I 10	05 909 Commenters, see database- Form Letter B	В		909	9	909	909					909	909	909			909		909			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	l 11	01 Salley	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	I 20	01 Pihl	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1	I 20	02 Pihl	1		1									1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	1	I 20	03 Pihl	1	1	1			1							1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1	I 20	04 Pihl	1	1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	1	I 20	05 Pihl	1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1	1	l 23	01 Sheathelm	1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1	l 23	02 Sheathelm	1		1									1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1 128 05 Searbiem 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	l 23	03 Sheathelm	1		1	1	1	1							1			1					Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1	1	I 23	04 Sheathelm	1	1	1			1							1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1	l 23	05 Sheathelm	1	1																			
1	1			1				1	1					1	1									
1	1			1					1 1						1	1			1		1			
1	1			1		+				1					1					1				0 1 0
1	1			1	1	1			1							1			1					
1	1			1		1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			
1	1			1	1																			
1 383 302 Richardson	1			1		1									1				1					
1 383 03 Richardson 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1			1		1			1 1						1	1			1		1			
1 383 04 Richardson 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1			1		1						1 1			1					1				0 1 0
1 1 383 05 Richardson	1			1	1				1							1								
1 420 01 Zakin 1<	1			1		1	_	1	1	1		1 1		1	1	1			1		1			
1 420 02 Zakin 1<	1			1	1	1	_	_		1		 											ļ	
1 420 0.3 Zakin 1	1			1		+		4	1 1	1	1				1	1			1		1		<u> </u>	
1 420 0.4 Zakin 1	1			1				4		1	1				1					1			<u> </u>	
1 1 420 05 Zakin 1<	1			1	1	+	_	4	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1	1												<u> </u>	
1 I 472 01 Savage 1 1 1 9 9 Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement 1 I 472 02 Savage 1	1			1		1		1	1	1				1	1	1			1		1			
1 I 472 02 Savage 1	1			1								1 1												
1 I 480 01 Imam 1 1 1 Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement	1		·	1	1	1	_			1		1 1												
	1		· · ·	1		1	_	1	1	1		1 1		1	1	1			1		1			• •
I I 480 02 Imam 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Consider/levaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam	1			1	1	1	_	_		1		 											ļ	
	1	I 48	02 Imam	1		1		1	1	1	1			1	1	1			1		1	ļ	<u> </u>	Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam

Mary Sept. Column Colu															Posnurco Area								
1		Comment		Format /		Agriculture	Biological	Cultural Energ	gy / Power		Land Us	/ Mitigation/	Monito Popula	ition /	Resource Area	Res	eservoir		ransboundary Trans	sportation / Water	Supply /		
1	Group Commenter Type	Sequence Code Number	Commenter Form Lette Decker 1	tter Mechanism	Content 1	Resources	Resources	resources Pri	oddciioii Giouria	ater Hydro	logy Plannin	ring	Hou	ing Public S	Services Rec	creation Mana	nagement So	cio-economics	Impacts T	Fraffic Qua	antity 1	Water Quality Water Rights Alternatives Miscellaneous	
1 0.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1			+ +					'												' 	1	
1	1 1									1							-	1		-	1	1	
1 1 72 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1				1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	
1	1 г	499 05	Decker 1	1																			
1 50 7 144 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 г	502 01	Furlong 1		1				1	1							1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 17 17 18 18 18 18 18	1 г	502 02	Furlong 1		1												1					1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	1	502 03	Furlong 1	1	1					1								1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 70 6 95 1 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	1 г		Furlong 1		1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	ů ,
1	1			_																			
1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 1								1	1								1			1	1	
1 Col. Col	1 1									-							1				1	1	
1	1 1			- '			1			1			_	_		1	1	1				1	
1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1			1	- '		'			-						'	1	'			1	'	
1	1 1			-	1												1	+		-	1	+ +	
1 70 70 60 70 70 70 70 70	1 1				1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	
1	1 1								1	1							1	1			1	1	
1	1 г		Collins 1	1																			
1	1		Garton 1		1				1	1	1						1	1			1	1	
1 93 52 52 52 52 53 53 54 54 54 54 54 54	1	583 02	Garton 1		1												1					1	
1	1 1	583 03	Garton 1	1	1					1								1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1		Garton 1		1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	· ,
1	1 1																						
1	1						1			1						1	1				1	1	
1	1 1		,						1	1								1			1	1	
1	1 1		· ·							-		_					1	_			_	1	
1 90 68 Many	1 1				1					1								1			1	1	
1	1 1			'	1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	
1	1 1		· ·	+	1		'		1	1						'	1				1	1	,
1	1 1			_				-	'	1							-			-	1	1	
1	1 1				1		1			1						1	1	1		-	1		
1	1 г			1																			
1	1 г				1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	
1	1 г	649 02	Henry 1		1				1	1							1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1	649 03	Henry 1		1												1					1	Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1	1 ।	649 04	Henry 1	1																			
1	1 г		Spotts 1		1				1	1							1	1			1	1	
1	1																1					1	
1	1 1			1	1					1								1			1	1	
1	1 1			1		-	-			1								-			1		
1	1 1		'			1	1			1							_	1			1		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1			+			1	-		- '				_		1	1	1			1	'	
1	1 1		·				1			1						1	1	1			1		**
1	1 1		•	+				-	1	1						<u> </u>	1	1		-	1	1	
1 1 772 01 Fischer	1 1			+ +						+-'		+			-	_	1	-			-	1	
1	1 1			1						1								1			1	1	
1	1						1			1						1	1	1		-	1		
1	1			1																			
1	1	775 01	Artley 1		1				1	1							1	1			1	1	Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1	1 1	775 02	Artley 1	1	1					1								1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1	1	775 03		1			T																
1	1		,		1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	,
1	1				1		1			1						1	1	1			1	1	
1	1																						
1 1 832 03 Costa 1<	1				1					1	_	-	_	_	_			1			1	1	
1 I 846 01 Falconer 1 <td< td=""><td>1 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td></td></td<>	1 1				1		,			-		-	-	-	-	1	1	1			1	4	
1 I 846 02 Falconer 1 I I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I I I I I I I	1 1			+ +						1		-	_								-	1	
1 I 846 03 Falconer 1 I I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I	1 1			+		1						-	_		-	1	ı					1	
1 I 872 01 Elliott 1 1 1 1 1 0pposes decommissioning of Glen Carryon Dam 1 I 873 01 Brooke 1	1 1						1		1	1		-	-		_	_	1	+			_	1	
1 I 873 01 Brooke 1	1 1			+ +		-	+			+-'	1	+		+	\dashv			-			1	- ' - 	
1 I 882 01 O'Kane 1	1 1			+ +			1			1	+	1	-	_	_	1	1	1			1	1	
1 I 897 01 Haseltine 1 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>- -</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>v ,</td></t<>	1 1						1			1							1	1		- -	1		v ,
1 I 897 02 Haselline 1 1 1 Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead	1				1				1	1	1						1	1			1	1	
1 I 897 03 Haselline 1 1 1 1 Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands	1	897 02	Haseltine 1		1												1					1	
	1	897 03	Haseltine 1	1	1					1								1			1	1	Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands

	Comment		Format /	Agriculture Biological	Cultural Energy / Power	Land Use /	Mitigation/Monito	o Population /	Resource Area	Reservo	ir	Transboundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /					
Group Commenter Type Sequence Code 1 I 897	Number Commenter 04 Haseltine	Form Letter	Mechanism	Content Resources Resources	Resources Production Groundwater Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing Publ	Services Recreat	ion Managem	ent Socio-economic	s Impacts	Traffic	Quantity 1	Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Comment Summary Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 1 897	05 Haseltine	1	1	' '	 					- '	- '			- '	-	- '			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1 1 907	01 Dart	1	'	1	1 1					1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 907	02 Dart	1	1	1	1 1						1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 907	03 Dart	1	1	·	 						'			•					Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1 907	04 Dart	1		1 1	1 1				1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 929	01 Ewing	1		1	1 1				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1 I 965	01 Burson	1		1						1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1 I 976	01 Bedford	1		1						1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1 I 977	01 Montgomery	1		1						1				1					Opposes decommissioning of Glen Canyon Dam
1 1031	01 Grob	1		1	1 1					1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 I 1031	02 Grob	1		1						1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1 I 1031	03 Grob	1	1	1	1						1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 I 1051	01 Schwartz	1	1																Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1 1 1051	02 Schwartz	1	1	1	1						1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 I 1051	03 Schwartz	1		1 1							1			1					Consider/evaluate alternative pricing schedules for agricultural water that do not include subsidies and encourage conservation
1 I 1051	04 Schwartz	1		1	1 1					1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 I 1051	05 Schwartz	1		1 1	1					1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1 1 1051	06 Schwartz	1		1		ļ				1		<u> </u>			1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
1 1 1073	01 Palmer	1		1	1	1		$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$	1	1	1	ļ		1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1 1 1073	02 Palmer	1		1 1 1	1	 		\vdash		_	1	<u> </u>		1	\vdash				Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
1 1 1073	03 Palmer	1		1 1	1	1		+	1	1	1	1	-	1	\vdash	1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 1 1073	04 Palmer	1 1		1 1	1	 				1	1	-		1	-	4			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1 1 1075	01 Enriquez	1		1 1	1	1	1	+ +	1	1	1	1		1	\vdash	1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 1 1075	02 Enriquez	1	-	1	1				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
1 1 1075	03 Enriquez	1	-	1	1 1				1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 1 1075	04 Enriquez	1		1					1	- 1	1								Maximize storage at Lake Mead to maximize power production at Hoover Dam and make up lost power production capacity at Glen Canyon Dam
1 I 1075 1 I 1076	05 Enriquez	1	-	1 1		-			1	1	1			1		1			Protect cultural resources in Glen and Grand canyons by discontinuing storage in Lake Powell
1 I 1076	01 Herschelman 01 Herschelman	1	1	1 1					- '	- '	- '			- 1		- '			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1 1 1076	02 Herschelman	1		1 1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
1 1 1076	03 Herschelman	1		1 1	1				<u>'</u>	1	1			- 1	1	'			Consider/evaluate use of Lake Mead as primary flood control facility in system
1 1 1076	04 Herschelman	1		1	1 1 1					1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
1 1 1076	06 Herschelman	1	1	1	1 1					·	1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
1 1 1076	07 Herschelman	1		1 1						1	1			1		-			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1 1 1076	08 Herschelman	1		1						1	<u> </u>				1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 2000	01 Miller, Paul																	1	Request to be added to mailing list, kept informed of progress, and provided with copies of study reports
2 2001	01 Meredyk, Angela			1	1				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2002	01 DeMay, Jim			1	1				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2003	01 Belles, Mark			1	1					1	1	1		1		1			Criteria should assure 7.5 maf delivery to the Lower Basin
2 2003	02 Belles, Mark			1	1				1		1			1		1			Criteria should give priority to meeting domestic and agricultural demands over power generation
2 2003	03 Belles, Mark			1 1	1				1	1				1		1			Evaluate guidelines ability to restore river flows to pre-dam conditions
2 I 2003	04 Belles, Mark			1	1				1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2003	05 Belles, Mark			1	1				1	1	1								Consider/evaluate socio-economic impact of low levels of lakes Mead and Powell
2 I 2003	06 Belles, Mark				1				1	1	1								Consider/evaluate reduced but stable Lake Mead levels to minimize impacts to businesses such as Marinas and tour guides
2 I 2004	01 Fayad, Jacob																	1	Provide results of public scoping meetings
2 I 2005	01 Bollock, Steve			1 1	1				1	1	1			1	$oxed{oxed}$	1	I		Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
2 I 2005	02 Bollock, Steve			1	1 1	1			_	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
2 2005	03 Bollock, Steve	<u> </u>		1		1		$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$		1		ļ			1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 2005	04 Bollock, Steve	1		1 1	1	 				1	1	1		1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
2 2006	01 Simon, Philip	1		1		 			1	1	1	1		1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2006	02 Simon, Philip	<u> </u>	1	1		-					1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
2 2007	01 Keck, Robert	+		1		1	1	+ +	1	1	1	1		1	\vdash	1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2007	02 Keck, Robert	+	 	1 1		1	1	+ +		1		1			\vdash				Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
2 2007	03 Keck, Robert	1	 	1 1		1		+ +	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	4			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
2 2008	01 Marion, George	1	 	1 1	1	+		 	1	1	1	 		1	 	1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2 2008 2 2008	02 Marion, George	+	\vdash		1 1	 		+	_	1	-1	1	\vdash	1					Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of rectaring natural flows though Clen and Crand Canyons
	03 Marion, George 01 <i>Commenter Unknown</i>	 	-	1 1	 	-	1	-		1	1	1		- 1	1	+	+		Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons. Consider/evaluate systemable codiment management program for Lake Devell and Lake Mead.
2 1 2009 2 1 2009	01 Commenter Unknown 02 Commenter Unknown	+		1 1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
2 I 2009 2 I 2010	01 Brown, Stephen	+		1 1	1	+	 	 	-	1	1	 		1	 	-			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
2 I 2010 2 I 2010	02 Brown, Stephen			1	1	1		 	-	- '	1	1	-	1	 	1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
2 I 2010 2 I 2010	02 Brown, Stephen			1	 	1		+ +		1	+ '	1		1	1	1			Opposite Compact to renect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 I 2010 2 I 2011	03 Brown, Stephen 01 Kostyniuk, Sophika	+	-	1	 	+	 	 		1		 			1	+			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 I 2011 2 I 2012	01 Beals, Cassie	+		1	 	+	 	 		1		 			1	+			Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 I 2013	01 Rothbart, Ron	+		1	 	1		+ +	_	1		1	-		1	\rightarrow	+		Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2 I 2014	01 Donoho, Diane			1	1	1			1	1	1								Incorporate shortage criteria in the Lower Basin that maintains power generation capacity to Lower Basin customers
2 2014	02 Donoho, Diane		1			1		 		<u> </u>	+ '-	1						1	Request that Hoover power contractors be consulted on any changes or potential impacts relating to Hoover power production
2 2015	01 Hendrickson, Belinda	1		1 1 1	1	1	1				1	1		1		+	+	•	Consider/evaluate aggressive water conservation now to minimize drought impacts in future years
. 2313		 			 						<u> </u>								

C	Commenter Torr	Comment	Com Letter	Format /	Contest	Agriculture	Biological	Cultural Energy / Power	Land Use	Mitigation/M	Monito Population	Re:	source Area	Reservoir	Carla acception	Transboundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /	Water Confin	Mates Dishte	Alternation	Management	Comment Summary
2	I 2015	02 Hendrickson, Belinda	T OILIT LELICI	Wechanism	1	Resources	1	1	gy Flaming	ing	Housing	Fubic Servi	ces Recreation	1	SOCIO-ECONOMICS	impacts	Halic	Quantity	Water Quality	water reignis	Allemanies	wiscendireous	Consider/evaluate protection of cultural resources in Glen Canyon
2	I 2015	03 Hendrickson, Belinda		1																			Develop plan that complies with Federal Laws such as the Endangered Species Act
2	I 2015	04 Hendrickson, Belinda			1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
2	I 2016	01 Wolverton, William			1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2	I 2016	02 Wolverton, William			1		1	1					1	1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of decommissioning Glen Canyon Dam
2	I 2016	03 Wolverton, William			1									1					1				Consider/evaluate sustainable sediment management program for Lake Powell and Lake Mead
2	I 2017	01 Kozarsky, Daniel			1			1 1						1	1			1		1			Consider/evaluate transfer of Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage to groundwater aquifers
2	I 2018	01 Pott, Richard			1			1				1			1								Consider/evaluate water conservation effects if replace hydroelectric power with wind and solar generation
2	I 2018	02 Pott, Richard				1		1							1			1		1			Consider/evaluate replacing Southern California' Colorado River water supply with water from Northern California
2	I 2019	01 French, Lynda			1			1 1							1			1	1	1			Consider/evaluate reducing California's Colorado River water supply and replacing it with sustainable supplies such as ocean desalination
2	I 2019	02 French, Lynda				1		1 1	1		1	1			1			1					Consider/evaluate Arizona's development of more local supplies to reduce reliance on Arizona project canals
2	I 2019	03 French, Lynda			1			1							1			1	1				Consider/evaluate return of treated wastewater to river to supplement supplies
2	I 2020	01 Wegst, Walter			1	1	1	1							1			1					Consider/evaluate alternative pricing schedules for agricultural water that do not include subsidies and encourage conservation
2	I 2020	02 Wegst, Walter			1	1									1								Consider/evaluate reducing federal subsidies to cotton and sugar cane farmers
2	I 2021	01 gerdeljesmar@yahoo.com			1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate water conservation effect of alternative rebate programs to convert turf to desert landscape
2	I 2022	01 Vesperman, Gary			1			1							1								Consider/evaluate large-scale water lifters for hydroelectric production to increase turbine efficiency
2	I 2023	01 Rupe, Bernie			1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
2	I 2024	01 Simon, Philip			1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons.
2	I 2024	02 Simon, Philip		$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\square}}}$	1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2	l 2024	03 Simon, Philip		1	1			1							1			1		1			Update Compact to reflect the Colorado River's supply limitations and changing societal demands
2	l 2025	01 Appleton, George			1				1						1			1					Consider/evaluate restrictions on outdoor water features to conserve water
2	l 2026	01 Abrams, Thomas L.		$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\square}}}$	1			1					1	1	1			1		1			Develop plan that maximizes storage at Lake Mead and minimizes storage at Lake Powell
2	l 2026	02 Abrams, Thomas L.			1		1	1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate costs and benefits of restoring natural flows through Glen and Grand Canyons
1	L 001	01 Phoenix, City of																				1	Supports position and recommendations of Basin States
1	L 002	01 Navajo Nation		1											1			1		1		1	Secretary must account for needs and water rights of Navajo Nation
1	L 004	01 Fort Mojave Indian Tribe						1							1			1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to water supply intake pumps resulting from future reduced in-stream flows
1	L 005	01 Tonto Apache Tribe										1			1			1		1			Consider/evaluate criteria that avoids impacts to reliability to Tribe's CAP water
1	L 005	02 Tonto Apache Tribe		1																		1	Request Secretary to assign representative to act as U.S.'s trustee for tribe and provide for direct participation in process
1	L 005	03 Tonto Apache Tribe		1																		1	Request regular consultations with tribe during development of alternatives
1	L 006	01 San Carlos Apache Tribe										1			1			1		1			Consider/evaluate criteria that avoids impacts to reliability to Tribe's CAP water
1	L 006	02 San Carlos Apache Tribe		1																		1	Request Secretary to assign representative to act as U.S.'s trustee for tribe and provide for direct participation in process
1	L 006	03 San Carlos Apache Tribe		1																		1	Request regular consultations with tribe during development of alternatives
1	L 007	01 Yavapai Apache Nation										1			1			1		1			Consider/evaluate criteria that avoids impacts to reliability to Tribe's CAP water
1	L 007	02 Yavapai Apache Nation		1																		1	Request Secretary to assign representative to act as U.S.'s trustee for tribe and provide for direct participation in process
1	L 007	03 Yavapai Apache Nation		1																		1	Request regular consultations with tribe during development of alternatives
1	L 008	01 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az		1																			Consider/evaluate shortage criteria that would be interim
1	L 008	02 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az		1		<u> </u>																	Consider using similar process used in ISG development
1	L 008	03 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az		1																			Implementation should be through AOP
1	L 008	04 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az				<u> </u>		1				1			1								For all alternatives to be considered, evaluate potential impacts to power production
1	L 008	05 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az				<u> </u>	1	1		1					1								Consider/evaluate consistency with and potential impacts to other established programs, i.e. LCRMSCP, Adaptive Mgnt, etc.
1	L 008	06 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az						1						1				1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to operations of Flaming Gorge and Gunnison River
1	L 008	07 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az				<u> </u>										1				1		1	Consider/evaluate potential of new law suit opposing All-American Canal Lining Project
1	L 008	08 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az			1			1 1							1			1	1				Consider/evaluate other complimentary programs such as tamarisk removal, cloud seeding, desalination, etc.
1	L 008	09 Irr & Elec Districts Assoc of Az		1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	L 009	01 Quechan			1										1								Consider/evaluate proactive steps to prevent future shortages from occurring
1	L 009	02 Quechan			1			1	1		1				1			1		1			Develop strategies that facilitate transfer of water from senior water rights holder to more junior water users
1	L 009	03 Quechan				<u> </u>		1							1			1		1			Consider/evaluate more stringent methods for determination of "normal" or "surplus" conditions
1	L 009	04 Quechan		1	1													1		1			Consider/evaluate delivery or diversion restrictions that are imposed in reverse order of priority to protect the rights of holders of senior rights
1	L 009	05 Quechan		1																			Proposed process requires a full Environmental Impact Statement
1	L 009	06 Quechan		1																		1	Quechan Tribe requests to be listed as a party of interest and notified of additional opportunities to comment
2	L 2000	01 Imperial Irrigation District		\bot														1			1		Opposes inclusion of Conservation Before Shortage alternative in EIS
2	L 2000	02 Imperial Irrigation District			1										1			1		1			Shortages should be first applied to users with post-1968 entitlements
2	L 2000	03 Imperial Irrigation District			1	1		1	1						1			1					Opposes development of expensive and complex Reclamation-managed land fallowing program as alternative to protect junior water users
2	L 2000	04 Imperial Irrigation District			1	1		1	1			1		1	1			1					Opposes use of any water and power surcharges to fund Reclamation-managed land fallowing program
2	L 2000	05 Imperial Irrigation District			1			1				1			1								Opposes power surcharge to protect future marginal power production loss at Hoover Dam
2	L 2000	06 Imperial Irrigation District		$\perp \Box$		\Box	1	1										1		1			Challenges claim that decreasing shortages will have positive impact on fish, wildlife or natural areas
2	L 2000	07 Imperial Irrigation District			1																		Require each lower division state to look at intrastate resources to mitigate shortage impacts before looking at resources of other states
2	L 2000	08 Imperial Irrigation District		\bot		1			1		1				1			1					Conduct and accurate evaluation of long-term costs and socioeconomic impacts associated with land fallowing
2	L 2000	09 Imperial Irrigation District			1	1		1	1	1					1			1					Consider/evaluate storage losses and required conservation volumes associated with long-term land fallowing programs
2	L 2000	10 Imperial Irrigation District		$\perp \perp \perp \Gamma$	1	1		1	1	1					1			1					Consider/evaluate financial and economic feasibility of long-term fallowing program
2	L 2000	11 Imperial Irrigation District			1	1		1	1	1					1			1					Consider/evaluate Reclamation's ability to fund a long-term land fallowing program
2	L 2001	01 Fort Mojave Indian Tribe		$\perp \perp \perp \Gamma$	1	$oxed{\Box}$		1						1				1		1			Consider/evaluate top water storage of users unused entitlement as opposed to re-allocation to other users
2	L 2001	02 Fort Mojave Indian Tribe			1													1		1			Consider/evaluate delivery reductions based on actual hydrologic conditions
	L 2001	03 Fort Mojave Indian Tribe				1		1							1			1	1	1			Consider/evaluate shortage criteria that reduces deliveries to all users, other than those with Present Perfected rights, by same percentage amount
2		04 Fort Mojave Indian Tribe			1			1	1		1				1			1		1			Develop strategies that facilitate transfer of water from senior water rights holder to more junior water users
2	L 2001	·								1		1								1			·
2 2 2	L 2001 L 2002	01 City of Phoenix						1															Avoid guidelines and strategies that increase risk of shortage in Lower Basin that are not consistent with Law of the River
		01 City of Phoenix 02 City of Phoenix			1			1				1		1	11			1		1			Avoid guidelines and strategies that increase risk of shortage in Lower Basin that are not consistent with Law of the River Guidelines and strategies should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
	L 2002				1 1			1 1 1				1		1	1			1 1		1			

														D								
	Comment		Format /	ŀ	Agriculture	Biological	Cultural En	ergy / Power			Land Use / M	itigation/Monito	Population /	Resourc	e Area	Reservoir		Transboundary	Transportation /	Water Supply /		
Group Commenter Type	Sequence Code Number 2002 05	City of Phoenix	Mechanism	Content 1	Resources	Resources	Resources I	Production G	roundwater E	Hydrology 1	Planning 1	ring	Housing	Public Services	Recreation	Management	Socio-economics	Impacts 1	Traffic	Quantity 1	ater Quality Water Rights Alternatives Miscellaneous Consider/evaluate quideli	ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2 L	2002 06	City of Phoenix		1	1					1	•					1	1	1		1		na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2 L	2002 07	City of Phoenix		1						1						1		1		1	1 Consider/evaluate flexible	e criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2 L	2003 01	City of Scottsdale		1				1						1		1	1			1	1 Guidelines and strategies	s should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2 L	2003 02	City of Scottsdale	1																		Consider/evaluate interim	period for guidelines
2 L		City of Scottsdale		1	1					1						1	1	1		1		na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2 L	2003 04	City of Scottsdale		1						1						1		1		1		e criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2 L	2003 05	City of Scottsdale			1			-		1	1						1	1		1		tioning shortages among Priority 4 water users consistent with Law of the River and respective contracts
2	2003 06 2003 07	City of Scottsdale City of Scottsdale								'	'						- 1			1		rsions to each Priority water user under varying shortage conditions respective State should be allowed to determine how to manage shortages within respective state
2	2003 08	City of Scottsdale		1		1				1	+		-	-	-		1	1		-		I management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity
2 L	2003 09	City of Scottsdale		1						1	1						1	1		1		ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2 L	2004 01	Town of Gilbert		1				1						1		1	1			1	1 Guidelines and strategies	s should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2 L	2004 02	Town of Gilbert	1																		Consider/evaluate interim	period for guidelines
2 L	2004 03	Town of Gilbert		1						1	1						1	1		1	1 Consider/evaluate guideli	ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2 L	2004 04	Town of Gilbert		1		1				1							1	1				management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity
2 L	2004 05	Town of Gilbert		1	1					1						1	1	1		1		na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2 L	2004 06	Town of Gilbert	1	1						1						1		1		1		e criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2 L	2005 01 2005 02	City of Tempe City of Tempe	1	1				1						1		1	1			1		ell and Mead must be consistent with the Law of the River s should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2	2005 02	City of Tempe	1	- +	+	\longrightarrow		-	-+	\dashv				'		'	<u>'</u>		\longrightarrow	-	Consider/evaluate interim	
2	2005 04	City of Tempe		1						1	1						1	1		1		ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2 L	2005 05	City of Tempe		1		1				1							1	1				management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity
2 L	2005 06	City of Tempe		1	1					1						1	1	1		1		na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2 L	2006 01	City of Chandler	1													1					Operation of Lakes Powe	ell and Mead must be consistent with the Law of the River
2 L	2006 02	City of Chandler		1				1						1		1	1			1	1 Guidelines and strategies	s should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2 L	2006 03	City of Chandler		1						1						1	1	1		1		minimum 8.23 maf/year objective release from Lake Powell
2 L	2006 04	City of Chandler	1																		Consider/evaluate interim	
2	2006 05	City of Chandler		1		1				1	1						1	1		1		ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2 L	2006 06 2006 07	City of Chandler City of Chandler	-	1	1	- '				1	+		-	-	-	1	1	1		1		I management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2	2006 07	City of Chandler		1						1	+		-			1	-	1		1	- 	e criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2 L	2006 09	City of Chandler																·		1		respective State should be allowed to determine how to manage shortages within respective state
2 L	2006 10	City of Chandler	1																			ment strategy through Record of Decision
2 L	2007 01	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona	1														1				All reasonable alternative	es need to be analyzed and included in EIS to provide proper advisory document
2 L	2007 02	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona						1						1			1			1	Balance water and electri	ic needs against environmental requirements
2 L	2007 03	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona	1																		Need to consider effects	of adopting both interim and permanent criteria
2 L	2007 04	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1	1				1	1						1			1	1 Consider market-based s	V
2 L	2007 05	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona								_												s that guidelines may have on the Law of the River
2 L	2007 06 2007 07	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1						1	-					1	-	1	-	1		minimum 8.23 maf/year objective release from Lake Powell
2	2007 07	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1						1	1					!	1	1		1		g 602(a) storage parameters ines that require Mexico share in shortages
2	2007 09	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1		1				1							1	1		1		its and effects of operating Yuma Desalting Plant
2 L	2007 10	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona							1	1	1	1				1	1			1		its and effects of Lower Basin offstream storage
2 L	2007 11	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1				1	1	1		1	1			1			1		ts and effects of augmentation of Colorado River water supplies pursuant to 1968 Act
2 L	2007 12	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1					1	1						1	1		1	1 Consider/evaluate apport	tioning shortages among Priority 4 water users consistent with Law of the River and respective contracts
2 L	2007 13	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1				1						1		1	1				Consider/evaluate strateç	gies that protect minimum power pool elevations at lakes Powell and Mead
2 L	2007 14	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1				1						1		1	1					and contractual requirements for protecting or not protecting minimum power pool elevations at lakes Powell and Mead
2 L	2007 15	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1																		st represent current conditions and current operational constraints
2 L	2007 16 2007 17	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1		1				1										1		s of the development of the Multi-Species Conservation Plan
2 L	2007 17 2008 01	Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona Colorado River Energy Distributors Association		1					-+	1									\longrightarrow	1		s on the ongoing litigation over water supply in the Gunnison River ents presented in Public Scoping Meetings be incorporated in public comments
2 L	2009 01	Arizona Power Authority		1	+	-+		1	-+	\dashv				1		1	1		-+	1		ial and economic impacts of maintaining elevation of Lake Mead at or above minimum power pool elevation
2	2009 02	Arizona Power Authority Arizona Power Authority		1		+		1						1		1	1					t protecting power production at both lakes Mead and Powell
2 L	2009 03	Arizona Power Authority		1				1						1			1					power production and power consumers of all alternatives
2 L	2009 04	Arizona Power Authority		1				1						1			1				Develop methods to mining	mize and fully mitigate any adverse impacts in and to the value of power that Hoover power contracts will receive
2 L	2009 05	Arizona Power Authority		1				1						1			1				Consult with Hoover pow	er contractors and brief them on proposed changes and proposed mitigation prior to adoption of new strategies and guidelines
2 L	2010 01	City of Tucson Water Department																				nd recommendations submitted by the Arizona Department of Water Resources
2 L	2011 01	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association	1													1						ell and Mead must be consistent with the Law of the River
2	2011 02	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association		1		-		1		_				1		1	1	1		1	- V	s should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2 L	2011 03 2011 04	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association	1	1		+				1						1	1	I		- 1		minimum 8.23 maf/year objective release from Lake Powell
2 L	2011 04 2011 05	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association Arizona Municipal Water Users Association	-	1	1	+			-	1						1	1	1		1	Consider/evaluate interim	n period for guidelines na shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2 L	2011 05	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association Arizona Municipal Water Users Association		1	'	+				1						1	<u> </u>	1		1		e criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2 L	2011 07	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association		- 1	1					1	1					-	1	1		1		tioning shortages among Priority 4 water users consistent with Law of the River and respective contracts
2 L	2011 08	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association								1	1						1			1		rsions to each Priority water user under varying shortage conditions
2 L	2011 09	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association																		1		respective State should be allowed to determine how to manage shortages within respective state
2 L	2011 10	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association		1		1				1							1	1			1 Shortage guidelines and	management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity
2 L	2011 11	Arizona Municipal Water Users Association		1						1	1						1	1		1	1 Consider/evaluate guideli	ines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
-	-																					

													D											
		Comment		Format /	-	Agriculture Biolo	ogical Cultural	Energy / Power		Land Use /	Mitigation/Monito	Population /	Resource	e Area	Reservoir		Transboundary	Fransportation /	Water Supply /					
Group	Commenter Type Sequence Code	Number Commenter	Form Letter	Mechanism	Content	Resources Reso	urces Resources	Production Groundwate	er Hydrology	Planning	ring	Housing Pr	Public Services	Recreation	Management	Socio-economics	Impacts	Traffic	Quantity	Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Comment Summary
2	L 2011 L 2012	12 Arizona Municipal Water Users Association 01 City of Mesa	_	1				-							1									Implement final management strategy through Record of Decision Operation of Lakes Powell and Mead must be consistent with the Law of the River
2	L 2012	02 City of Mesa		+ ' -	1			1					1		1	1			1		1			Guidelines and strategies should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2	L 2012	03 City of Mesa			1			+ ' + -	1						1	1	1		1		1			Evaluate requirement of minimum 8.23 maf/year objective release from Lake Powell
2	L 2012	04 City of Mesa		1	·														•					Consider/evaluate interim period for guidelines
2	L 2012	05 City of Mesa			1	1			1						1	1	1		1		1	1		Consider /evaluate Arizona shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction
2	L 2012	06 City of Mesa			1				1						1		1		1		1			Consider/evaluate flexible criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
2	L 2012	07 City of Mesa				1			1	1						1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate apportioning shortages among Priority 4 water users consistent with Law of the River and respective contracts
2	L 2012	08 City of Mesa																	1				1	Affected water users and respective State should be allowed to determine how to manage shortages within respective state
2	L 2012	09 City of Mesa			1		1		1							1	1			1				Shortage guidelines and management strategies should all include assumption that Yuma Desalting Plant will be operated at full capacity
2	L 2012	10 City of Mesa			1				1	1						1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate guidelines that require Mexico and Nevada to share in shortages with Arizona
2	L 2012	11 City of Mesa		1				+						-				-						Implement final management strategy through Record of Decision
2	L 2013 L 2014	Tri-State Generation and Transmission Assoc. Arizona Power Authority			1			1					1		1	1								Evaluate the impacts to power production and power consumers of all alternatives Consider (auditude strategies that product in signify an equiva page elevations at letter Payell and Mand
2	L 2014	02 Arizona Power Authority		1	'			1					'		1	'							1	Consider/evaluate strategies that protect minimum power pool elevations at lakes Powell and Mead Request that Hoover power contractors be consulted on any changes or potential impacts relating to Hoover power production
2	L 2015	01 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		•	1			1					1	-		1							-	Evaluate the impacts to power production and power consumers of all alternatives
2	L 2015	02 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1			1					1		1	1								Consider/evaluate strategies that protect minimum power pool elevations at lakes Powell and Mead
2	L 2015	03 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona		1																				Evaluate/determine Secretary's authority to reduce annual releases from Lake Powell below 8.23 MAF
2	L 2015	04 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1			1					1			1								Evaluate the impacts to power production and power consumers of all alternatives
2	L 2015	05 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona			1				1	1						1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate guidelines that require Mexico share in shortages
2	L 2015	06 Irrigation & Electrical Districts of Arizona				1		1	1	1		1	1			1			1		1			Consider/evaluate benefits and effects of augmentation of Colorado River water supplies pursuant to 1968 Act
2	L 2016	01 CREDA			1			1					1		1	1								Consider/evaluate strategies that protect minimum power pool elevations at lakes Powell and Mead
1	S 001	01 Basin States	1		1				1						1									Guidelines should be coordinated with anticipated releases from Lake Powell during low reservoir conditions
1	S 001	02 Basin States	1	1	1			<u> </u>											1		1			Consider conservation of water supply consistent with Lakes Mead and Powell authorization laws
1	S 001	03 Basin States	1	1																				Guidelines should be designed to delay onset and minimize extent and duration of shortages
1	S 001	04 Basin States		1	1										1									Strategies should maximize the protection afforded to the Upper Basin by Lake Powell
1	S 001	05 Basin States		1	1								1			1	1		1		1			Guidelines should be premised upon proportionate sharing of shortages by Mexico
1	S 002 S 002	01 Arizona Power Authority 02 Arizona Power Authority			1			1					1			1								For all alternatives to be considered, evaluate potential impacts to Hoover power production Evaluate methods to mitigate impacts on amount and value of power Hoover Contractors will receive
1	S 002	03 Arizona Power Authority		1	'			'					'			'							1	Request that Hoover power contractors be consulted on any changes or potential impacts relating to Hoover power production
1	S 002	04 Arizona Power Authority		1																			- '	Consider/evaluate criteria incorporation into LROC and AOP processes
1	S 004	01 Arizona Game & Fish		<u>'</u>			1	+ +						1	1									Consider/evaluate potential impacts to sportfish reproduction within mainstem reservoirs
1	S 004	02 Arizona Game & Fish											1	1		1		1	1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to boating and fishing
1	S 004	03 Arizona Game & Fish					1		1										1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to fish and wildlife resources from decreased in-stream flows
1	S 004	04 Arizona Game & Fish					1																	Consider/evaluate potential impacts to Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery as a production facility
1	S 004	05 Arizona Game & Fish					1								1									Consider/evaluate potential impacts to riparian vegetation from declining levels in reservoirs and river
1	S 004	06 Arizona Game & Fish							1						1				1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to other off-stream reservoirs such as Alamo Dam and Lake Pleasant
1	S 004	07 Arizona Game & Fish					1		1										1					Consider/evaluate potential impacts to Mittry Lake Wildlife Area by changed river operations
1	S 004	08 Arizona Game & Fish		1			1								1									Section 7 consultation needed if reduction in flows below Hoover Dam are more than 1.574 MAF as stated in LCR MSCP BA/BO
1	S 004	09 Arizona Game & Fish		1											1									Section 7 consultation needed if projected conditions are different than stated in LCR MSCP BA/BO
1	S 004	10 Arizona Game & Fish					1								1									Consider/evaluate potential opportunities for improved fish & wildlife management
1	S 004	11 Arizona Game & Fish		1																			1	Arizona Game & Fish Department will work with lower basin states to develop report to congress, if needed
1	S 005	01 Basin States		1										-	_			-						Guidelines should be designed to delay onset and minimize extent and duration of shortages
1	S 005	02 Basin States	_	1	1			-							1	1	1		1		1			Strategies should maximize the protection afforded to the Upper Basin by Lake Powell
1	S 005 S 005	03 Basin States 04 Basin States	_	1	1			-								1	1		- 1		- 1			Guidelines should be premised upon proportionate sharing of shortages by Mexico Consider/evaluate shortage criteria that would be interim
1	S 005	05 Basin States		<u>'</u>	1				1						1									Guidelines should be coordinated with anticipated releases from Lake Powell during low reservoir conditions
1	S 005	06 Basin States	+	+ +	-			+ +	-	 		+	+		-		+		1					Consider/evaluate effects that quidelines may have on recently adopted Interim Surplus Guidelines
1	S 005	07 Basin States	+	1				+ +				 									1			Consider/evaluate effects that quidelines may have on the Law of the River
1	S 005	08 Basin States	+	† †	1		1	† †								1			1		-			Consider/evaluate aggressive tamarisk eradication efforts to conserve water
1	S 005	09 Basin States	1				1								1				1					Consider/evaluate specific measures that result in more efficient management of Colorado River water supplies
1	S 005	10 Basin States			1			1	1							1			1	1				Consider/evaluate programs that can augment the available basin supplies, such as cloud seeding, ocean desalination, etc.
1	S 005	11 Basin States			1	1	1	1	1							1			1					Consider/evaluate programs to allow use of mainstem water by forbearance, replacement, or exchange
1	S 005	12 Basin States		1																				Consider/evaluate criteria incorporation into LROC
2	S 2001	01 Arizona Department of Water Resources			1				1						1	1	1		1		1			Undertake a complete review of Section 602(a) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968
2	S 2001	02 Arizona Department of Water Resources		\perp	1				1						1	1	1		1		1			Undertake a complete review of the storage algorithm used to model and determine releases from Lake Powell under Section 602(a)
2	S 2001	03 Arizona Department of Water Resources	1	\vdash	1			1	1						1	1	1		1		1			Request that power production be removed from the storage algorithm used to model and determine releases from Lake Powell under Section 602(a)
2	S 2001	04 Arizona Department of Water Resources	1	1	1			1					1		1	1			1		1			Guidelines and strategies should provide priority to water supply over hydrogeneration
2	S 2001	05 Arizona Department of Water Resources	1	+	1			+ +	1			\vdash			1	1			1		1			Develop and use accurate Upper Basin depletions and projected new depletions for use in calculating 602(a) storage requirements
2	S 2001	06 Arizona Department of Water Resources	+	+ +	1			+ +	1	1					1		1		1		1			Consider/evaluate elimination of 14.85 maf storage requirements set forth in Interim 602(a) Storage Guideline for Management of Colorado River
2	S 2001	07 Arizona Department of Water Resources 08 Arizona Department of Water Resources	1	+ +	1		_	+	1	1			-		ı	1	1		1		1			Adjust the Colorado River System Simulation Model to properly calculate active storage in the Upper Basin Consider/evaluate muidelines that require Mayica share in shortages
2	S 2001 S 2001	08 Arizona Department of Water Resources 09 Arizona Department of Water Resources	+	+ +	1	1	-	+ +	1			+			1	1	1		1		1	1		Consider/evaluate guidelines that require Mexico share in shortages Consider/evaluate Arizona shortage and Lake Mead trioner proposal with 600 KAE delivery reduction.
2	S 2001	10 Arizona Department of Water Resources 10 Arizona Department of Water Resources	+	+ +	1	1	- 		1				-		1	1	1		1		1	ı		Consider /evaluate Arizona shortage and Lake Mead trigger proposal with 600 KAF delivery reduction Consider/evaluate flexible criteria that would require consultation with Arizona for reductions in excess of 600 KAF
	3 2001	7 mizona Department of Water Resources	+	+ +				+ +	<u> </u>	 		+	+		-		-		'					Consider/evaluate mechanism that permits suspension of shortage declaration if hydrologic conditions indicate that Lake Powell elevations may rise and reach
2	S 2001	11 Arizona Department of Water Resources	1	1											1									equalization elevations
2	S 2003	01 Upper Basin State Representatives																					1	In the absence of a Consensus Plan, the Basin states would like the opportunity to submit specific alternatives for evaluation
2	S 2003	02 Upper Basin State Representatives	L	1																				Request Reclamation consult with Basin States on development of any and all alternatives
2	S 2004	01 Colorado River Board of California		1																				Guidelines should be interim and end in 2016
	•	-	-		-				•		_		-	-	-									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

																Resor	irce Area										
Commenter Type	e Sequence Code	Comment Number	Commenter	Form Letter	Format / Mechanism	Content	Agriculture Resources	Biological Resources	Cultural E Resources	Energy / Power Production	Groundwater	Hydrology	Land Use / Planning	Mitigation/Monito ring	Population / Housing	Public Services	Recreation	Reservoir Management	Socio-economic	Transboundar cs Impacts	ry Transportatio	n / Water Supply Quantity	/ Water Quality	Water Rights	Alternatives	Miscellaneous	Comment Summary
S	2004	02	Colorado River Board of California		1																						Consider longer-term shortage guidelines if the Interim Surplus Guidelines are extended or modified to run concurrent
S	2004	03	Colorado River Board of California		1																						Adoption of guidelines should be in form of guidelines as opposed to formal federal regulations
S	2004	04	Colorado River Board of California		1																						Adopt guidelines in a manner that permits modification as new operational information is gained
S	2004	05	Colorado River Board of California			1													1			1		1			Shortage guidelines should cover only that group of entitlements that are post-September 30, 1968 in priority
S	2004	06	Colorado River Board of California													1						1		1			Clarify the post-1968 non-Central Arizona Project rights in Arizona and the post-1968 rights in Nevada in order to determine how shortages will be distrib among the post-1968 entitlements
S	2004	07	Colorado River Board of California									1							1			1		1			Consider/evaluate how higher magnitude shortages would affect the cut-back of rights in he 1929 to 1968 pool of entitlements
S	2004	08	Colorado River Board of California			1																1		1			Guidelines should be structured to give protection to senior entitlements as established in the 1968 Colorado River Basin Act and 1964 Supreme Court of
S	2004	09	Colorado River Board of California			1						1						1	1			1					Development of shortage guidelines should consider protection of elevations that will allow SNWA intakes to function
S	2004	10	Colorado River Board of California			1						1	1						1	1		1		1			Consider/evaluate guidelines that require Mexico share in shortages
S	2004	11	Colorado River Board of California			1				1						1			1								Guidelines should not include programs that place involuntary taxes or user fees on water or power users
S	2004	12	Colorado River Board of California			1	1					1	1	1		1			1			1					Consider/evaluate value of voluntary intra-state fallowing and other arrangements deemed necessary to mitigate impacts resulting from shortages
S	2004	13	Colorado River Board of California			1						1						1	1	1		1		1			Reservoir operating guidelines should benefit both Upper and Lower Basins
S	2004	14	Colorado River Board of California									1						1				1		1			Any new guidelines show help delay likelihood of a Compact Call on the Upper Basin states
S	2004	15	Colorado River Board of California			1																					Guidelines should delay likelihood and reduce magnitude of declared shortages
S	2004	16	Colorado River Board of California			1					1	1							1			1	1				Consider/evaluate programs that augment the water supply to the system
S	2005	01	Southern Nevada Water Authority			1						1						1	1			1					Consider/evaluate criteria that includes conjunctive management of Lakes Powell and Mead
S	2005	02	Southern Nevada Water Authority									1	1		1				1			1					Evaluate effects that guidelines may have on urban areas
S	2005	03	Southern Nevada Water Authority			1						1		•				1				1					Consider/evaluate operating measures that consider the full range of reservoir operations, not just low reservoir conditions
S	2005	04	Southern Nevada Water Authority		1																	1					Guidelines should be adopted in a timely manner to augment the water supplies and provide Nevada time to develop additional permanent supplies
S	2006	01	Colorado River Commission of Nevada		1																					1	Request that Western Area Power Administration be included in process to help analyze potential impacts relating to power production